

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/722,915	11/26/2003	Masaru Sawada	0941.68762	1898	
Patrick G. Burn	7590 02/09/2007	EXAMINER			
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
SHORTENED STATISTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVED:	VMODE	
SHOK LENED STATUTOR	A FERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		02/09/2007	PAP	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		st
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,915	SAWADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Qutub Ghulamali	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 N	lovember 2003.	•
· — ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the state of the state o		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Abo Everinos
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).
<ul><li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ul>		nlication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Burea	·	<b>.</b>
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	eceived.
	-	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/04</u>.</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-5, 10 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites in line 18 "conditional". Shouldn't it recite "conditioning"?.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1, line 19, "multiplication coefficients" should be amended to read "multiplication coefficient". Claim 1, line 19, after "multiplication coefficient for the", -- FIR -- should be inserted.

Claim 2, line 20, "multiplication coefficients" should be amended to read "multiplication coefficient".

Claim 1, line 20, after "multiplication coefficient for the", -- FIR -- should be inserted.

Claim 3, line 12, after "a predetermined restricting conditional vector," "a" should be replaced with -- the --.

Claim 3, line 24, "multiplication coefficients" should be amended to read "multiplication coefficient".

Claim 4, line 22, "multiplication coefficients" should be amended to read "multiplication coefficient".

Claim 5, line 23, "multiplication coefficients" should be amended to read "multiplication coefficient".

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 10 and 14, line 3, "said fir" should be amended to read "said first".

Claim 11, line 4, "multiplication coefficients" should be amended to read "multiplication coefficient".

2. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 8 has not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9, 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, recite the limitation "the FIR filter" in lines 8, 9, 9, 12, 12 and 12, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claims 2 and 3, recite the limitation "the same condition" in the last line and line 20 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3, recites the limitation "the output" in the line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 7, recites the limitation "the higher order side" and "the lowest order" in lines 5 and 6 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 7, recites the limitation "the current coefficient" in lines 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9, recites the limitation "the higher order side" and "the lowest order" in lines 5 and 8 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 11, recites the limitation "the higher order side" and "the lowest order" in lines 5 and 8 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 13, recites the limitation "the higher order side" and "the lowest order" in lines 5 and 11 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 13, recites the limitation "the highest order coefficient" in the last line.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-7, 9 11-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome:
- 1) the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action, and
  - 2) the claim objections set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2611

#### **Reason For Allowance**

Page 5

- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record in combination with other claimed limitations neither teaches nor renders obvious a method of training equalizer comprising:
- a) utilizing, as a restricted coefficient updating vector applied for updating the multiplication coefficient for each tap of the FIR filter, a vector obtained from projecting, onto a plane perpendicular to a predetermined restricting conditioning vector, a coefficient updating vector determined based on an equalizer error between the output of the FIR equalizer and a reproduction output determined therefrom, and a delayed input value for each tap of the FIR equalizer; and
- b) utilizing, as the predetermined restricting conditional vector, a coefficient vector comprising the multiplication coefficients for the equalizer obtained upon calculating the equalizer error, as recited in claims 1, 4 and 5.
- b) utilizing, as the predetermined restricting conditional vector, a vector which is a difference between a coefficient vector comprising the multiplication coefficients for the equalizer obtained upon calculating the equalizer error and another coefficient vector immediately subsequent thereto obtained in the same condition as recited in claim 2.
- b) utilizing, as the predetermined restricting conditional vector, a vector which is a difference between a subsequent coefficient vector obtained in the same condition immediately subsequent to and an antecedent coefficient vector obtained in the same condition immediately antecedent to a reference coefficient comprising the multiplication

Art Unit: 2611

coefficients for the equalizer obtained upon calculating the equalizer error as recited in claim 3.

Claim 6, in combination with other claimed limitations, said training part utilizes, as the restricting conditional vector, a vector which is a difference between a subsequent coefficient vector obtained in the same condition immediately subsequent to and an antecedent coefficient vector obtained in the same condition immediately antecedent to a reference coefficient comprising the multiplication coefficients for the equalizer obtained upon calculating the equalizer error.

Such limitations as recited in these claims is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**US Patents:** 

US Pub. (2004/0028159) to Abdelilah et al.

US Pub. (2004/0047409) to Lee et al.

Art Unit: 2611

US Pub. (2004/0071206) to Takatsu.

US Pub. (2005/0169361) to Yousef et al.

US Pub. (2004/0233981) to Porter et al.

US Pub. (2004/0091037) to Balasubramonian et al.

US Patent (5,999,355) to Behrens et al.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/722,915

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

QG. January 23, 2007.

> MOHAMMED GHAYOUR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER